05E5guoC 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK -----x 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, New York, N.Y. 23 Cr. 118 (AT) 4 v. 5 MILES GUO, Defendant. 6 7 -----x 8 May 14, 2024 2:10 p.m. 9 10 Before: 11 HON. ANALISA TORRES, 12 U.S. District Judge 13 14 **APPEARANCES** DAMIAN WILLIAMS 15 United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York 16 BY: RYAN B. FINKEL 17 JULIANA MURRAY MICAH FERGENSON 18 JUSTIN HORTON Assistant United States Attorneys 19 PRYOR CASHMAN, LLP 20 Attorneys for Defendant BY: SIDHARDHA KAMARAJU BY: MATTHEW S. BARKAN 21 -and-ALSTON & BIRD, LLP 22 BY: E. SCOTT SCHIRICK 23 -and-SABRINA P. SHROFF 24 25 ALSO PRESENT: VICTOR CHANG, Mandarin Interpreter SHI FENG, Mandarin interpreter SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1 (Case called) THE COURT: Would you make your appearances, please. 2 MR. FINKEL: Good afternoon, your Honor. Ryan Finkel, 3 Juliana Murray, Micah Fergenson, Justin Horton for the 4 government, joined by Isabel Loftus, a paralegal in our office. 5 MR. KAMARAJU: Good afternoon, your Honor. Sid 6 7 Kamaraju, Sabrina Shroff, Scott Schirick and Matthew Barkan on behalf of Mr. Guo, who is seated at the back table, and we are 8 9 joined by our paralegal Ruben Montilla. 10 THE COURT: Please be seated. I understand that I must first arraign the defendant on the S 3 superseding 11 indictment; is that correct? 12 13 MR. FINKEL: Yes, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: So, Mr. Guo, do you have a copy of the superseding indictment? 15 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Was that document translated for you? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. 19 MR. KAMARAJU: Your Honor, we went over the document 20 with a translator with Mr. Guo as well. 21 THE COURT: Do you want me to read it to you here in 22 public or do you waive its public reading? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Not needed, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: How do you plead; quilty or not quilty? 25 THE DEFENDANT: Not guilty.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: You may be seated.

Would the interpreters please identify themselves?

INTERPRETER: Victor Chang, your Honor.

INTERPRETER: Good afternoon, your Honor. My name is Shi Feng.

THE COURT: And Mr. Guo, you understand what the interpreters are saying; is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I hear all right.

THE COURT: Yesterday the defendant submitted a letter raising three issues. First, he argues that the testimony of one of the government's witnesses, whom I will call Witness-A, is inadmissible. Witness-A intends to testify that after Mr. Guo was arrested, one of his attorneys asked Witness-A to execute a "false affidavit" in the bankruptcy court. Witness-A believes that the attorney is "acting as the underground messenger" between Mr. Guo and his associates.

In my May 2nd motion *in limine* order I denied the government's motion to admit evidence of Mr. Guo using an attorney to "ferry messages" to others, finding that the evidence was not sufficiently probative to overcome the prejudicial effect of revealing Mr. Guo's detention. I also noted that the communications between Mr. Guo and his lawyer might be privileged.

The government states that it will not elicit that Mr. Guo was detained at the time, nor that the attorney

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was"ferrying messages to and from" MDC.

I reject defendant's argument that this testimony would necessarily require the revelation of Mr. Guo's detention and I do not find that the conversation between the attorney and Witness-A, a third-party, implicates the attorney-client privilege.

The defendant also objects to Witness-A's proposed testimony that "the business ventures at issue in the indictment are scams," which he argues goes to the ultimate legal issue at trial. But, under Federal Rules of Evidence 701 and 704, a lay witness, like Witness-A "may testify in the form of an opinion, even one that goes to an ultimate issue to be decided by the trier of fact, if the testimony is helpful to clear understanding of the witness' testimony of the determination of a fact in issue." *Cameron v. City of New York*, 598 F.3d 50, 62 (2d Cir. 2010). Moreover, this testimony is admissible as proof of Witness-A's impressions which were derived from their direct participation in the conspiracy. *United States v. Hill*, 644 F. Supp. 3d 751 (S.D.N.Y. 2022).

The defendant's motion to preclude Witness-A's testimony in its entirety is, therefore, denied.

Second, the defendant asks to adjourn trial on Fridays so that he can have more time to review discovery with his counsel. The defendant will have the opportunity to confer with his attorneys after we adjourn each day at 2:45 p.m.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Court will be in session on Fridays and the defendant's request is denied. The defendant also asks that the government provide the defense notice, by 9:00 a.m. each Wednesday, of the witnesses the government intends to call the following week, and in what order. The government has offered to disclose its witnesses by the Saturday morning before they will be called, which is acceptable to the Court, therefore the defendant's request is denied.

Finally, the defendant asks that his counsel be permitted to bring him lunch during the trial. The application is denied.

I have received a letter from the government dated yesterday, seeking to preclude Mr. Guo from invoking a "presence of counsel" defense. Mr. Guo has stated that he does not intend formally to seek an advice of counsel defense but "reserves the right to present evidence of testimony regarding his awareness that legal counsel is involved in certain transactions."

I agree with the government that this evidence may be irrelevant and risks confusing the jury. If Mr. Guo had asserted the advice of counsel defense he would have been required to disclose his communications with his attorney to determine if he fully and honestly laid out all the facts and if he followed his attorney's advice. United States v. Scully, 877 F.3d 464, 476 (2d Cir. 2017). Having chosen to not assert

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

such a defense, Mr. Guo cannot now use the presence of attorneys as both a shield and a sword. Accordingly, I will follow the approach utilized by Judge Katherine Forrest in *S.E.C. v. Tourre*, 950 F.Supp.2d 666, 684-85 (S.D.N.Y. 2013). That is, counsel for the defense "will not be precluded altogether from saying the words 'counsel,' 'lawyer,' or 'attorney,'" but will also not "be permitted to zero in on the presence or involvement of lawyers for the sake of highlighting their presence or involvement" <u>Id.</u> at 685. In their opening statements, defense counsel will not be permitted to refer to the involvement of lawyers in any transactions. The Court will preclude evidence that solely shows that lawyers attended or set up meetings which is irrelevant and the Court will give a limiting instruction if Mr. Guo refers to the presence or involvement of lawyers.

I am now going to discuss how voir dire is going to work. As the parties are aware, the jury in this case will be anonymous to the public, their identities will only be known to the Court and the parties. I take the privacy and security of the jury extremely seriously and expect the parties to do the same. You are to carefully safeguard their information from anyone who is not a member of the attorney team including after the close of the case. I will now go over how voir dire will operate with an anonymous jury.

25

My law clerk will now provide you with the seating

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

05E5quoC

charts.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

20

21

22

The jury department will generate a list which includes the names of each of the jurors with numbers indicated in the left-most column, placing the potential jurors in a randomized order numbered from 1 to 200.

One moment.

(Court and law clerk confer)

THE COURT: My law clerk is going to give you an example of what this list will look like, will show it to you.

Each juror will have a card with their number which corresponds to their place in the randomized list. The first 100 potential jurors, meaning those who, after randomization, are the first 100 names on the jury list, will be brought up to the courtroom to start the voir dire. After my law clerk swears them in, they will be ushered, one by one, to their seats in accordance with their number. Juror no. 1 will sit in 17 seat no. 1; juror no. 2 will sit in seat no. 2; and so on. My law clerks will privately confirm that the potential juror's 19 name, seat number, and jury card number all match up.

Any questions about that?

MR. FINKEL: Not from the government.

MR. KAMARAJU: No, your Honor.

The parties are reminded that they are 23 THE COURT: 24 forbidden from sharing the jury list containing the potential 25 jurors' identities. Likewise, you are forbidden from sharing

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

the ultimate list of jurors and alternates. Side bars will be on the record. The parties are instructed to refer to jurors by their juror number. For example, "Juror no. 1," in side bars and at all times in open court. I am now going to go over the seating chart.

To start with the first sheet of the seating chart, the 18 boxes represent the 18 seats in the jury box. Seat no. 1, where juror no. 1 will be sitting, is the left most seat in the front row. The middle row contains, from left to right, seats 7 through 12. No. 13 is the back left seat, and 18 is the back right seat.

Any questions?

MR. FINKEL: No, your Honor.

MR. KAMARAJU: No, your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Turning to the second sheet. This chart represents the first two rows of the gallery and includes the 16 17 benches on both sides of the aisle. From my vantage point from 18 my music stand, seat 19 is the left-most seat in the first row. 19 The first row is the row closest to the jury box and counsel 20 tables. Six people will sit on that bench. Then, after the aisle, are seats 25 through 32. Eight people will sit on that 21 bench. The right-most seat in the first row of the gallery 22 from my vantage point from my music stand, is seat 32. The 23 24 second row contains seats 33 through 46. The next page contains the third and fourth rows in which jurors 47 through 25

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

74 will be seated. Finally, the last page contains the fifth 1 and sixth row, the rows closest to the door, jurors 75 through 2 100 will be seated in these rows. 3 Any questions? 4 5 MR. FINKEL: No, your Honor. MR. KAMARAJU: No, your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: I will first do general voir dire questions with all 100 seated jurors and then shift to asking 8 9 individual questions to the first 50 jurors. For this case we 10 will sit 12 jurors and six alternates. For the 12 jurors the government has six peremptory 11 challenges and the defendant has 10. For the alternates, each 12 13 side will have three additional peremptory challenges. 14 So, I am going to describe the peremptory strikes 15 process that we will be utilizing in this trial which is likely different from any that you have used before. We will start 16 17 first with the defense, and the defense can strike as many in 18 that first group of 12 that they wish to strike, and so they 19 could use all of their strikes or just a few or none. Then we 20 would go to the prosecution and the same applies. You can use any number of strikes that you want. You will be going back 21 and forth until all of the defendant's strikes are used up, and 22 23 the same process we will use for the alternates. 24 Are there any questions? 25 MR. FINKEL: No, your Honor.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

MR. KAMARAJU: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: So just to be clear, neither party may go backwards, you can only go forwards in terms of using the peremptory strikes. I am going to issue written orders on the remaining motions *in limine*, mainly the *Daubert* and Witness 1 issues. I plan to do that shortly.

By tomorrow, May 15, each side should provide the Court with a list of attorneys and paralegals who will be involved in the trial, a list of potential witnesses and other individuals whose names may be mentioned, a list of businesses or entities that may be mentioned during the trial, and a list of locations involved in the trial. I will read those lists to the venire during voir dire. Also, by tomorrow, please e-mail my chambers to set up a time for an AV walk-through and technology test so that when we start the trial there are no open tech issues, we hope.

The marshals have asked me to ask Mr. Guo to submit his clothing request as soon as possible.

Please also submit your wi-fi and electronic device requests by Friday, May 17. I have arranged for defense counsel to use the jury room in my usual courtroom, courtroom 15D, as a war room over the course of the trial. Defense counsel should coordinate access to the room with my chambers and keep it tidy. My law clerks will not stay late into the evening so that counsel can keep working in the war room.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

1	Counsel should generally expect to return to their offices to
2	work following proceedings for the day.
3	Are there any applications?
4	MR. FINKEL: Not from the government.
5	MR. KAMARAJU: No, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: All right, then. So that brings our
7	conference to a close and we are adjourned until trial.
8	000
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	